REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 29, 44 and 45 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. While it is believed that the claims, as previously presented, adequately describe the present invention, particularly in light of the application, Applicants have amended these claims to more clearly point out that the dual sided integral composite image product has first and second continuous non-interrupted substrates each having a front side and back side. In addition, it is set forth that the front side has a separate image layer thereon and that the substrates are permanently secured together in a manner so as to form a dual sided integral composite product such that the back sides of said first and second substrates are in a back-to-back alignment with each other. Applicants respectfully submit that it is abundantly clear that the first and second substrates are permanently secured together in a back-to-back manner such that the back sides are in co-alignment with each other.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, is no longer applicable.

The Examiner has also rejected claims 1, 2, 4-9, 29-33 and 44-47 as being unpatentable over Applebaum et al. in view of Robertson et al. for the reasons set forth therein. Applicants respectfully submit for the reasons previously discussed with regard to the Applebaum and Robertson references, the claims now as presented are clearly patentable over the prior art. In this regard Applicants, for the reasons previously presented, respectfully submit they have clarified the limitations as to how the substrates are secured together which is not taught or suggested by the cited prior art.

In view of the foregoing it is respectfully submitted that the claims in their present form are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 27,370

Frank Pincelli/phw Rochester, NY 14650

Telephone: 585-588-2728 Facsimile: 585-477-4646

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at

(585) 477-4656.